

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/116.537	07/16/98	SAMARAS		G	389301
-		PM51/0128	\neg	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL R : FRISCIA &			•	ARK., D	
ONE UNIVER	SITY PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HACKENSACK	NJ 07601			3643	3
				DATE MAILED:	01/28/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application N	10
---------------	----

09/116,537

Applicant(s)

Samaras

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Darren Ark

Group Art Unit 3643



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is so is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fails application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extend 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtained to be the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtained to be the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorical in the CERTIFIED copies in the CERTIFIED copies. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorical in the CERTIFIED copies. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtained.	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). es of the priority documents have been Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	ionty under 35 0.5.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DESICE ACTION (ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I - Fig. 1; Species II - Fig. 2; Species III - Fig. 3; Species IV - Figs. 4A & 4B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/116537 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Attorney Michael Friscia on Monday, January 25, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Darren Ark** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3733**. The examiner can normally be reached on **Monday-Friday** from **8:30-6:00**.

DWA DWA

January 25, 1999

MICHAEL J. CARONE
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER